

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/751,743	MORSEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Szperka	1644	

All Participants:

(1) Michael Szperka.

(2) Thomas A. Wootton.

Status of Application: non-final rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 August 2005

Time: 10 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Wootton because Applicant has cancelled all pending claims in response to the office action mailed March 7, 2005, and has indicated on page 3 of the remarks received August 4, 2005 that no claims are pending in this application, that applicant plans to file a continuation of this application (USN 11/196,897), and that this amendment and response make all rejections of the March 7, 2005 office action moot and that no response is required. The examiner asked if Applicant was planning to file an express abandonment, and Mr. Wootton indicated that no such action was planned. Mr. Wootton did indicate that no further responses would be filed in this application. Six months have elapsed since the last office action was mailed in this case, and applicant has filed no further responses. As such, this case is abandoned. .